

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,952	06/16/2005	Peter Robert Flux	UDL0168PUSA	3770
2995 PROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. 1000 TOWN CENTER TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			EXAMINER	
			BRADFORD, CANDACE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3634	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) FLUX, PETER ROBERT 10/517.952 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit CANDACE L. BRADFORD 3634 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/16/05. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/25/05

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3634

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a fall arrest device, as best seen in Figures 9 and 10, for use on an elongate support 11, said device comprising a chassis means 14, having safety support retaining means to retain an elongate support whilst 11, allowing movement of the device therealong, and including a sliding element 12, for slidably engaging said elongate support, first and second locking cam means 64,65, for locking the device to the elongate support in a fall arrest situation; first and second link means 72,73, and attaching means for attaching personal safety means 115, to the device and transmitting a load from the personal safety device means 115, to said link means, as best seen in Figure 12, in which said first and second locking cam means comprise respective first and second cam elements, 64,65 each arranged for rotation about a respective first axis relative to the chassis and able to move between a first locking position, as best seen in Figure 10, in which the cam element traps the elongate support between itself and the sliding element and a second released position, as best seen in Figure 9, in which the cam element does not trap the elongate support; the first and second link means each being connected to a respective one of the first and second

Art Unit: 3634

cam elements for mutual rotation about a respective second axis separated from said first axis as best seen in Figure 9, the first and second link means being connected together for mutual rotation about a third axis separated from said first and second axes, and the attaching means being able to move relative to the link means as best seen in Figure 12, so that the first and second locking cam means can be moved between their first and second positions by loads applied to the device through the attaching means; in which each of the first and second link means comprises two parts arranged for reversible relative movement in response to an applied load from the attaching means above a predetermined value, the movement being such that a part of the link means intermediate said second and third axes descends relative to said second axis, as best seen in Figures 9 and 10.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the cam means, 64, 65 and link means 72, 73 are arranged so that said movement of the two parts of a link will move at least one of said locking cam means towards its first locking position, as best seen in Figure 9.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the first and second locking cam means,64,65 are arranged for rotation relative to one another about a common first axis, as best seen in Figure 10.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 3, in which the first and

Art Unit: 3634

second locking cam means 64, 65 and said common first axis are arranged for rotation about a fourth axis spaced from and parallel to the first, the fourth axis being located nearer than the first axis to the sliding element, as best seen in Figures 9 and 10.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 4, in which the first and second locking cam means 64,65 are arranged for rotation about a boss 66, which is arranged for rotation about the fourth axis, as best seen in Figure 9 and 10.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the chassis means 14, includes at least one rotatable element 12, 13 having a peripheral recess.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 6, in which the first and second locking cam means 64, 65 and said common first axis are arranged for rotation about a fourth axis spaced from and parallel to the first, the fourth axis being located nearer than the first axis to the sliding element, and wherein the rotatable element can rotate about the fourth axis, as best seen in Figure 9.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as claimed in claim 1, in which the first and cam elements, 64,65 and first and second link means 72,73 form a quadrilateral linkage, as best seen in Figure 9.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device as in claim 1, in which each link means

Art Unit: 3634

comprises a first arm 74, arranged for rotation about a respective second axis and a second arm 75, arranged for rotation about said third axis, the first and second arms being connected for mutual rotation about a fifth axis, said reversible relative movement being mutual rotation of the first and second arms about the said fifth axis.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tupper (4846075). Tupper discloses a device according to claim 4 and further comprising a control means 13, arranged for rotation about said fourth axis, so that the cam elements can be moved into the second, unlocked position by said rotation.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tupper (4846075) and Renton et. al. (6530454). Tupper as advanced above fail to disclose an attaching means including a loop passing around the link means. Renton teaches the utility of a loop means 115 as best seen in Figure 1, in which the attaching means includes a loop passing around the link means so that the attaching means can transmit a load to the device by the loop bearing on a bearing surface of the link means facing the interior of the quadrilateral linkage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the attachment device of Tupper with a looped

Art Unit: 3634

attaching means as taught by Renton et al. so as to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tupper (4846075) and Renton et. al. (6530454). Tupper as advanced above fail to disclose an attaching means including a loop passing around the link means. Renton teaches the utility of a loop means 115 as best seen in Figure 1, so that the attaching means can transmit a load to the device by the loop bearing on a bearing surface of the link means facing the interior of the quadrilateral linkage, and wherein the bearing surface of each first arm 74, is concave. The utility of loop passing around a link means is commonly used in the art to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the attachment device of Tupper with a looped attaching means as taught by Renton et al. so as to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tupper (4846075) and Renton et. al. (6530454). Tupper as advanced above fail to disclose an attaching means including a loop passing around the link means. Renton teaches the utility of a loop means 115 as best seen in Figure 1, in which the attaching means includes a loop passing around the link means so that the attaching means can transmit a load to the device by the loop bearing on a bearing surface of the link means facing the interior of the quadrilateral linkage, and wherein the bearing surface of each second arm 75, is concave. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3634

art to provide the attachment device of Tupper with a looped attaching means as taught by Renton et al. so as to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tupper (4846075) and Renton et. al. (6530454). Tupper as advanced above fail to disclose an attaching means including a loop passing around the link means. Renton teaches the utility of a loop means 115 as best seen in Figure 1, in which the attaching means includes a loop passing around the link means so that the attaching means can transmit a load to the device by the loop bearing on a bearing surface of the link means facing the interior of the quadrilateral linkage, and wherein loads applied to the bearing surfaces of the first arms by the loop will urge at least one of the cam elements towards the first locking position. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the attachment device of Tupper with a looped attaching means as taught by Renton et al. so as to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tupper (4846075) and Renton et. al. (6530454). Tupper as advanced above fail to disclose an attaching means including a loop passing around the link means. Renton teaches the utility of a loop means 115 as best seen in Figure 1, further comprising an element limiting the movement of said loop so that it can only bear on the beating surfaces of the first arms. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the attachment device of Tupper with a looped attaching means as taught by

Art Unit: 3634

Renton et al. so as to provide a secure connection device between the support device and the fall arrest device

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CANDACE L. BRADFORD whose telephone number is (571)272-8967. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am until 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Mitchell can be reached on (571) 272-7069. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Candace L. Bradford Patent Examiner Art Unit 3634 March 22, 2008 /KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 363410517952